

Audit:	Auditor(s):
--------	-------------

Directorate: Date:

Audit Ref. Number: W.P.Ref.:

BASIS OF ASSESSMENT		WEIGHTING	POINTS SCORE	WEIGHTED SCORE
A.	INHERENT RISK			
1.	Statutory Nature of Service. Contribution to the achievement of Council Objectives.	0.4		
2.	Income and expenditure	0.5		
3.	Critical data/Data protection	0.4		
4.	Assets	0.1		
B.	CONTROL RISK			
1.	Existence of formal management controls and operating procedures. Reporting Structure.	0.5		
2.	Is system susceptible to: Fraud i.e. cash income system Error, etc. Inc Risk of failure	0.5		
3.	Politically sensitive? - "embarrassment factor" of failure	0.2		
5.	Partnership working /SLAs /Contracts	0.2		
		TOTAL		



Auditor(s):

Dire	ctorate:	Date:	
Audi	it Ref. Number:	W.P.Ref.:	
INHE	ERENT RISK		
<b>A</b> 1	STATUTORY NATURE OF SERVICE/FUNCTION	Score	COMMENTS
5.0 4.0 3.0 2.0 1.0	Duty / Core Function		
0.0	Discretionary Function		
<b>A</b> 1	POLITICAL PROFILE / CONTRIBUTION TO THE ACHIEVEMENT OF COUNCIL OBJECTIVES	Score	COMMENTS
5.0 4.0 3.0 2.0 1.0	High		
<b>A2</b>	GROSS EXPENDITURE	Score	COMMENTS
5.0 4.0 3.0 2.0 1.0 0.0	> £5m approx. > £1m approx. > £500k approx. > £250k approx. > £100k approx. < £100k approx.		
<b>A2</b>	GROSS INCOME	Score	COMMENTS
5.0 4.0 3.0 2.0 1.0 0.0	> £5m approx. > £1m approx. > £500k approx. > £250k approx. > £100k approx. < £100k approx.		

Audit:



Audi	t:	Audito	or(s):
Dire	ctorate:	Date:	
Audi	t Ref. Number:	W.P.F	Ref ·
, taai	Troi. Italibor.	**	
<b>A3</b>	USE OF KEY DATA	Score	COMMENTS
10.0	Responsibility for collection and use of current		
9.0	electronic customer data		
8.0			
7.0			
6.0 5.0			
4.0	Data used for internal purposes		
3.0	Zata acca tot internal parposes		
2.0			
1.0	Minimal data handling		
0.0	Minimal data handling		
A 4	ITEMO OF MOVEARIE MAQUINERY AND	0	COMMENTO
<b>A4</b>	ITEMS OF MOVEABLE MACHINERY AND PLANT	Score	COMMENTS
	LAN		
10.	Large numbers of assets (including Pcs/Printers)		
0			
9.0			
8.0 7.0			
6.0			
5.0			
4.0			
3.0 2.0			
1.0			
	No significant assets		
001	TDOL DISK		
CON	TROL RISK		
R1	SYSTEM AND STAFF STARII ITY	Score	COMMENTS



Audi	it:	Audito	or(s):		
Directorate:		Date:			
Audi	it Ref. Number:	W.P.F	Ref.:		
2.5 2.0 1.5 1.0	Very weak or non-existent system/ change imminent				
0.5 0.0	Very strong system, no change anticipated				
B1	COMPLEXITY OF SYSTEMS	Score	COMMENTS		
2.5 2.0 1.5 1.0 0.5	Highly complex.				
0.0	Simple				
B1	REPORTING STRUCTURE	Score	COMMENTS		
2.5 2.0 1.5 1.0 0.5 0.0	No formal reporting structure or authority levels in place  Clear reporting lines and authority levels				
B1	EXISTENCE OF FORMAL MANAGEMENT / CONTROL / OPERATING PROCEDURES	Score	COMMENTS		
2.5 2.0 1.5 1.0 0.5	Unidentifiable procedures.				
0.0	Fully documented procedures.				
B2	FRAUD SUSCEPTIBILITY	Score	COMMENTS		



Audi	it:	Audito	r(s):		
Directorate:		Date:			
Audit Ref. Number:		W.P.Ref.:			
5.0 4.0 3.0	Cash income; Previous fraudulent activity				
2.0 1,0	No past Audit experience				
0.0	Income received electronically: no previous known fraudulent activity.				
B2	CONTROL RISK SYSTEMS CONTINUITY	Score		COMMENTS	
5.0 4.0 3.0 2.0 1.0	Service delivery disrupted in times of system failure				
0.0	Robust systems of back-up to allow continued service delivery.				
		T _			
В3	SENSITIVITY Damage to reputation, public relations etc. (including child safety etc.)	Score		COMMENTS	
5.0 4.0 3.0 2.0 1.0	Very sensitive / controversial.				
0.0	Least sensitive / ordinary.				
B4	PARTNERSHIP WORKING/SLAS				
5.0 4.0 3.0 2.0 1.0	Very complex partnership arrangements.				
0.0	No partnership involvement				